Positron annihilation spectroscopy study of lattice defects in non-irradiated doped and un-doped fuels
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Abstract. Fission gas behavior within the fuel structure plays a major role for the safety of nuclear fuels during operation in the nuclear power plant. Fission gas distribution and retention is determined by both, micro- and lattice-structure of the fuel matrix. The ADOPT (Advanced Doped Pellet Technology) fuel, containing chromium and aluminum additives, shows larger grain sizes than standard (undoped) UO2 fuel, enhancing the fission gas retention properties of the matrix. However, the additions of such trivalent cations shall also induce defects in the lattice. In this study, we investigated the microstructure of such doped fuels as well as a reference standard UO2 by positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS). Although this technique is particularly sensitive to lattice point defects in materials, a wider application in the UO2 research is still missing. The PAS-lifetime components were measured in the hotlab facility of PSI using a 22Na source sandwiched between two 500-μm-thin sample discs. The values of lifetime at the center and the rim of both samples, examined to check at the radial homogeneity of the pellets, are not significantly different. The mean lifetimes were found to be longer in the ADOPT material, 220 ps, than in standard UO2, 190 ps, which indicates a larger presence of additional defects, presumably generated by the dopants. While two-component decomposition (bulk + one defect component) could be performed for the standard material, only one lifetime component was found in the doped material. The absence of the bulk component in the ADOPT sample refers to a saturated positron trapping (i.e., all positrons are trapped at defects). In order to associate a type of lattice defect to each PAS component, interpretation of the PAS experimental observations was conducted with respect to existing experimental and modeling studies. This work has shown the efficiency of PAS to detect lattice point defects in UO2 produced by Cr and Al oxides. These additives create lattice irregularities, which are acting as sinks for fission products on one hand and trapping positrons on the other hand. Fitting of the obtained experimental data with a suitable theoretical model can provide a valuable qualitative assessment of these defects. At this stage of the research, some of the existing models were used for this purpose.

1 Introduction

It is well established that the addition of chosen dopants in UO2 fuel, the most popular being Cr2O3, enlarges grain sizes contributing to a better fission gas retention and improves pellet-cladding interaction behavior [1]. While the oxidation state of Cr has been recently assessed to be +3 only [2], the mechanism of accommodation of such cation in the face centered cubic (f.c.c.) structure of the UO2 is still not entirely understood: is Cr accommodated in substitution? Of oxygen? Uranium? In interstitials? In vacancies or clusters of vacancies already present in the lattice? Whatever the mechanism, dopants are likely to induce point defects. In this study, we have investigated the occurrence of such lattice defects by positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS).

PAS is a powerful technique to probe defects and has already widely been used for nuclear structural materials [3,4]. However, the number of published works on UO2 is small. Even less papers have addressed the issue of radiation effects [5–9] and there is only one study on doped-material by PAS where dopants were actinides [10]. The present study focuses for the first time on PAS characterization of UO2 fuel with a microstructure modified by dopants.

2 Experimental

The doped UO2 ADOPT (Advanced Doped Pellet Technology) and conventional UO2 Standard Optima2 (Std Opt2) fuels manufactured under similar conditions by Westinghouse (Västeras, Sweden) have been investigated in this study. Details of the fabrication process are given in
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Arborelius et al. [11]. Both initial powders were pressed into green pellets with a force of 50 kN and then sintered in a H2/CO2 gas mixture at 1800 °C during 14 h. Additives of Cr and Al are limited to 1000 ppm in the ADOPT material. The pellet densities are respectively 10.67 and 10.60 g/cm³, corresponding to 97.3% and 96.7% of the theoretical values, showing the effect of additives.

For both materials, two thin slices of the pellets of 8.36 cm in diameter were cut and one face polished to obtain discs of 500 μm thickness.

We used the decay of $^{22}\text{Na}$ generating positrons as a source, described as following: $^{22}\text{Na} \rightarrow ^{22}\text{Ne} + \beta^+ + \nu_e + \gamma$. This source of 3.7 MBq, obtained from an evaporated drop of aqueous solution containing $^{22}\text{Na}$ salt, has an effective diameter of 2 mm and is embedded between Kapton foils. Thanks to the small size of the source relative to the pellet slices, two separate measurements could be performed, i.e., in the center and at the rim of the pellets to investigate the radial homogeneity. The source is sandwiched between the two pieces of each sample and detectors are placed at each side of the set-up (Fig. 1).

The positron lifetime measurements were performed using a conventional two-detector spectrometer with a resolution of 195 ps. Contribution of positrons annihilating within the source was determined by calibration measurements to have 20% intensity and 390 ps lifetime. A typical lifetime spectrum, as obtained for both UO2 materials, calibration Fe sample and calibration $^{60}\text{Co}$ source, can be

![Fig. 1. Schematic drawings of the experimental setup (top and bottom left) and picture of the positron lifetime spectrometer facility (right). In this case, the spectrometer was used in 2-detector mode [3].](image-url)
seen in Figure 2. A minor uncertainty could be introduced by not considering the effect of backscattered positrons in the calibration Fe sample. This uncertainty was considered negligible due to using of “fast” unmoderated positrons from radioisotope source.

3 Results

Analytical data processing was performed using the LT 9.0 program [12] and two-component decomposition of the spectra (bulk + defect component) according to the standard trapping model [13]. The lifetime spectra were fitted with a variance of fit (FV) ranging better than 1.06 (Tab. 1). In the case of the ADOPT sample, the bulk component could not be identified, which means that the positron trapping at defects reached its saturation (i.e., all positrons trapped). All experimental data are listed in Table 1. Two different values (250 and 300 ps) fixed for the defect component have been selected based on the previously published studies [9,10] to examine scenarios with different types of defects.

Mean lifetimes are homogeneous at the center and the rim of the pellet at 190 and 220 ps for both materials ADOPT and Std Opt2, respectively. It means that the microstructure along the pellet radius is not affected by the production process from a point defect perspective. Both pellets are radially isotropic. Mean lifetimes were found to be higher in the ADOPT material than in Std Opt2, indicating a higher number of point defects in the doped material. This is very likely due to the incorporation of trivalent cations (Cr³⁺) in the structure.

As mentioned above, the spectra have been decomposed into two lifetimes \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \). Two lifetime components are generally reported for UO₂ [5,9,10]. Such decomposition enables to calculate the lattice lifetime \( t_{\text{bulk}} \). For the Std Opt2 sample, \( t_{\text{bulk}} = 180 \text{ ps} \) was obtained. The first measured component \( t_1 \) of the Std Opt2 sample is by 10 ps slightly lower than \( t_{\text{bulk}} \), which is in agreement with the standard trapping model. This component could correspond either to a reassessment of a defect-free bulk or a mix of bulk and some shallow defects. In addition to this component, 15–33% of the positrons are trapped in defects with a lifetime of 250–300 ps. The nature of this trapping site will be discussed in the next section. Larger defect structures as clusters with higher lifetimes (e.g., porosity) are not observed in either of the samples.

In the ADOPT fuel, positrons are trapped at defects (saturated positron trapping). Table 1 shows also some proposed fits with a fixed \( t_2 \) value at 250 or 300 ps. As can be seen, these fits result in a significantly reduced intensity of this component (as compared to the Std Opt2 sample) and suggest that such defects, are present in lower concentration than in the reference material or, if existent, are less attractive to positrons (so called shallow traps).
Table 1. Mean lifetimes $\tau$, first and second components $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ and their associated intensity $I_i$.Italic values of $\tau_2$ indicate fixed parameters during the decomposition. Reduced chi-squares F.V. are given for each fit. Bulk lifetimes were calculated from the experimentally measured data according to the standard trapping model [13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mean $\tau$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$I_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$I_2$ (%)</th>
<th>F.V.</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{bulk}}$ (ps) calc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Std Opt2 center</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>69.10</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>1.0315</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>189</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>85.13</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>1.0318</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sdt Opt2 rim</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>66.30</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>33.70</td>
<td>1.0217</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>191</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>84.78</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>15.22</td>
<td>1.0305</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOPT center</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>218</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>75.50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>1.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>218</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1.0035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOPT rim</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0349</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>222</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>88.50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>1.0673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>221</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>98.29</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.0368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Discussion – interpretation of the PAS components

The PAS signals of the standard and doped material are material-specific and indicate different microstructures. The few PAS-studies on UO$_2$ material are too limited to establish a straightforward identification of the nature of the positron-trapping sites. In this section, we compare and discuss the results in the perspective of the previous experimental and modelling studies [5,7,9,10]. It is worth noting that these three available valuable studies stem from the same research group (CEA/CNRS, France). In particular, Wiktor et al. [9] have performed DFT + $U$ calculations to obtain the positron lifetimes of uranium and oxygen vacancies in UO$_2$ as well as combination of vacancies (Shottky defect, etc.). They did not consider interstitial defects in their calculations.

The formation energy of a uranium vacancy is almost twice that of oxygen [14], and in the literature this kind of point defect was generally detected in irradiated/damaged UO$_2$ [5,10]. In our fresh non-irradiated sample, the mechanism of creation of such defects could again be polishing, as already proposed by Evans et al. [7]. On the other hand, this 2nd lifetime component $\tau_2$ is only observed in the standard sample, whereas both standard and doped samples have been polished; thus it should have also been detectable in the doped sample. Other mechanisms of formation are likely (e.g., intergranular misfits). Nevertheless, one can notice that fits including a fixed $\tau_2$ at 250 or 300 ps for the doped fuel data yield better or comparable variance of fit FV than those without $\tau_2$ (Tab. 1), so that

The nature of the lifetime at 220 ps recorded for the doped material is more disconcerting than the one found in the standard sample, first because the trapping sites capture the totality of positrons up to saturation, second because this value was never reported in previous studies as a specific lifetime component. This component could be a signature of the defects created by the incorporation of additives in the UO$_2$ lattice. Indeed, Riglet-Martial et al. [2] have shown by X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) that the oxidation state of soluble Cr is 3+ only in UO$_2$, creating obvious charge defects. According to the experimental and calculation work of Cardinaels et al. [16], the most favorable site for Cr satisfying the observed variation of lattice parameter of doped UO$_2$ is the substitution of uranium combined with a bonding with a...
U$^{15}$ or one oxygen vacancy in neutral cluster. Oxygen vacancies, the most stable point defects in stoichiometric UO$_2$ [9], are formally expected to be positively charged, and should therefore in principle be invisible to PAS. However, Vathonne et al. [15] has shown by DFT + $U$ method that V$_0$ charged $-2$ could also be stable for Fermi levels lying close to the middle of the band gap, so that the presence and detection of this very-stable defect should not be absolutely excluded. In order to provide a solid interpretation of the experimental data, more theoretical calculations are needed. Recently, collaboration with the Institute of Nuclear and Physical Engineering, Slovak University of Technology was established aiming to obtain an accurate theoretical interpretation.

5 Conclusion and perspectives

The PAS technique obviously highlights the microstructural particularity of doped vs. undoped UO$_2$. We identified the bulk lifetime at 180 ps in a quite good agreement with previous studies. A second component most probably corresponding to either U-vacancies or Schottky defects has been detected in the undoped material. In the ADOPT UO$_2$, the defects created by the addition of dopants lead to a strongly localized trapping sites up to saturation. If the origin of this 220 ps component remains unclear, the PAS signal evidences the specific lattice particularities of this material. A modeling work using DFT + $U$ approach is ongoing in order to support assumptions and interpretation of the PAS signal. First calculations on $4 \times UO_2$ supercell for U-vacancy result in a good accordance with the present interpretation. The effect of Cr$^{+3}$ incorporation will be studied in larger supercell ($32 \times UO_2$).

We believe this technique, up to now scarcely used for nuclear fuel, provides new valuable data on the UO$_2$ lattice-microstructure and can be used as a quality assessment tool for fresh fuel. This is of particular interest, as the doped fuel seemingly exhibits a structural contradiction, i.e., higher general density (i.e., less pores), but also a higher density of point defects. However, the one does not exclude the other; and the higher density is beneficial for the thermo-physical properties whereas the point defects are trapping sites for volatile fission products (i.e., fission gas) atoms. The point defects quantification is well accessible by PAS. Unfortunately, although the analysis of defects in irradiated fuel would be of utmost interest, today PAS cannot be used for this case due to the strong activity of the fuel which affects the detector.
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