Sustainability of thorium-uranium in pebble-bed fluoride salt-cooled high temperature reactor
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Abstract. Sustainability of thorium fuel in a Pebble-Bed Fluoride salt-cooled High temperature Reactor (PB-FHR) is investigated to find the feasible region of high discharge burnup and negative Flibe (2LiF-BeF\textsubscript{2}) salt Temperature Reactivity Coefficient (TRC). Dispersion fuel or pellet fuel with SiC cladding and SiC matrix is used to replace the tristructural-isotropic (TRISO) coated particle system for increasing fuel loading and decreasing excessive moderation. To analyze the neutronic characteristics, an equilibrium calculation method of thorium fuel self-sustainability is developed. We have compared two refueling schemes (mixing flow pattern and directional flow pattern) and two kinds of reflector materials (SiC and graphite). This method found that the feasible region of breeding and negative Flibe TRC is between 20 vol\% and 62 vol\% fuel loading in the fuel. A discharge burnup could be achieved up to about 200 MWd/kgHM. The case with directional flow pattern and SiC reflector showed superior burnup characteristics but the worst radial power peak factor, while the case with mixing flow pattern and SiC reflector, which was the best tradeoff between discharge burnup and radial power peak factor, could provide burnup of 140 MWd/kgHM and about 1.4 radial power peak factor with 50 vol\% dispersion fuel. In addition, Flibe salt displays good neutron properties as a coolant of quasi-fast reactors due to the strong \textsuperscript{9}Be(n,2n) reaction and low neutron absorption of \textsuperscript{7}Li (even at 1000 ppm) in fast spectrum. Preliminary thermal hydraulic calculation shows good safety margin. The greatest challenge of this reactor may be the decades irradiation time of the pebble fuel.

1 Introduction

The sustainability of nuclear energy resources has aroused great interest and attention since the Generation IV International Forum. A reactor system with breeding capability is very essential to extend the sustainability of nuclear fuel resources. Liquid metal-cooled fast reactor is the preferred choice to achieve a high breeding ratio. However, it has some obstacles due to safety concerns associated with a positive void reactivity.

Thorium seems an attractive option of nuclear resources mainly due to its abundance, the opportunity to reduce the need for enrichment in the fuel cycle, the high conversion ratios (to \textsuperscript{233}U) achievable in a thermal neutron spectrum, and also due to other neutron and thermal physical properties studied early in the development of nuclear power [1]. Due to the high effective number of neutrons for each \textsuperscript{233}U fission in a thermal and epithermal neutron spectrum, thorium breeding is feasible in most existing and prospective reactor designs (including LWRs [2,3], HWRs [4–8], HTGRs [9] and molten salt reactors [10–12]), and it can provide the negative void reactivity coefficient due to the softer neutron spectrum than that of fast reactor. However, the thorium breeding gain in these reactors is far lower than fast reactor’s. From an economical view, it is better to maintain fissile self-sustainability and to improve burnup for decreasing reprocessing mass per electricity.

This work focuses on sustainability of thorium in a Pebble-Bed Fluoride salt-cooled High temperature Reactor (PB-FHR), to find its feasible region of high burnup and negative void reactivity coefficient. Expectant advantages of Flibe salt (2LiF-BeF\textsubscript{2}) as breeder reactor coolant [17] are that heat-carrying capacity and boiling point are both high; weak neutron slowing-down power will allow more coolant volume ratio than HWRs; and it may provide more negative temperature reactivity coefficient due to strong (n,2n) reaction of \textsuperscript{9}Be in the fast spectrum.
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Furthermore, PB-FHR is neutron saving with refueling online, and $^{233}$Pa has the chance to decay away when thorium fuel is periodically removed from the core. However, one disadvantage is that Flibe salt in a flowing pebble bed will occupy about 40\% volume of core, which enhances the moderation of Flibe and decreases the fuel inventory, as a result, a critical design should be required in fuel system of breeder PB-FHR.

The system of tristructural-isotropic (TRISO) coated fuel particles embedded in massive graphite matrix in thermal spectrum PB-FHRs is not adaptable to breeder reactor concepts due to its low fission loading, the high irradiation swelling behavior of graphite in a quasi-fast spectrum, and the excessive moderation due to the large graphite/fuel ratio. Two kinds of fuel system [18] are developed for gas-cooled fast reactor (GFR) in order to increase fuel loading and improve radiation resistivity, which could be applied to PB-FHRs. One is pin-type GFR fuel with refractory cladding material (Fig. 1a); another one is dispersion fuel (or composite fuel or sphere-pac fuel) consisting of a distribution of discrete fuel particles embedded in a non-fuel matrix (Fig. 1b). Usually, fuel loading in dispersion fuel can reach 50 vol\%, and in pin-type fuel is beyond 75 vol\% [19]. Buffer layers are both designed in pin-type fuel and dispersion fuel to provide volume for fission gas and provide volume for fuel particle swelling. SiC is a good candidate cladding material or matrix material because of the good irradiation swelling behavior of SiC [20–25], the large irradiation behavior database, and the experience in use of SiC as a component in TRISO fuel. In addition, SiC has excellent oxidation resistance due to rapid formation of a dense, adherent SiO$_2$ surface scale on exposure to air at elevated temperature, which offers protection from further oxidation. SiC is effective for retention of the solid fission products [26], but the migration of Ag in polycrystalline SiC can occur. Middle metallic liner designed in pin-type fuel and SiC matrix in dispersion fuel ensure such fission product confinement within the fuel system.

For a preliminary concept design, the fuel system of thorium fuel self-sustainability in PB-FHRs is considered as dispersed fuel particle filled in a sphere cladding. In order to simplify neutron calculation, an equivalent fuel system with only thorium fuel region and SiC region (Fig. 1c) is used because the weak moderation of SiC makes the space self-shielding effect insignificant. Oxy-carbide thorium fuel is chosen in this work due to stable fission product confinement within the fuel system.

In order to simplify refueling scheme, homogeneous system with one kind of $^{233}$U/$^{232}$Th pebble is carried out, in which mixing flow pattern and directional flow pattern are both performed. For neutronic analysis of thorium fuel self-sustainability, neutron spectrum is adjusted by fuel loading variable $V_f$, which is defined as fuel volume dividing the volume of fuel system. In addition, graphite reflector is compared with SiC reflector to evaluate the moderation effect of reflector. Reactor model and refueling scheme are introduced in Section 2. Equilibrium calculation method of fissile self-sustainability is represented in Section 2.2. In Section 3, we show the results and discussions, in which achievable burnup of thorium fuel self-sustainability, temperature reactivity coefficient of Flibe, radial power distribution and preliminary thermal hydraulics are analyzed. Conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

### 2 Model and calculation method

#### 2.1 Reactor model and refueling scheme

Reactor model is simplified to a cylinder (Fig. 2). The core is divided into five radial annular flow channels with the same cross-sectional area. Each channel is uniformly segmented into seven axial layers. In all, 35 burnup regions are used for neutronic calculation. Graphite or SiC is chosen as the material of both the axial and the radial reflector. Vacuum boundary condition is assumed outside the reflector. The layout of control rods and the B$_4$C shielding layer are outside the scope of this article, and are omitted in the equilibrium calculation. Dimensions of reactor are shown in Table 1. The diameter of pebble is chosen as 6 cm, but it may be changed for thermal hydraulic considerations. $^{233}$U/$^{232}$Th pebbles are loaded in the core with a volumetric filling fraction of 0.6.

![Fuel system: a. pin-type fuel with SiC/SiC cladding; b. dispersion fuel filled with two kinds of coated fuel particle; c. equivalent fuel used for neutron calculation in this work.](image-url)
Vf in pebble is varied by changing the packing factor. Usually, packing factor for the binary size particles is higher than unary size particle, in this paper, the packing factor in pin filling model is 0.73 calculated by equation from literature [27] (in sphere filling model, it will be lower than 0.73), fuel loading in particle could reach 78%, thus, the limiting Vf is 0.73 × 0.78 = 0.57. However, for neutronic analysis, Vf beyond 0.57 is also performed. Material properties of reactor are listed in Table 2. 6Li in Flibe salt is assumed to be 22 ppm referred to literature [28], while the equilibrium concentration of 6Li will be analyzed in the following section. Fresh 233U/232Th ratio (UTR) is automatically adjusted in the equilibrium calculation for fissile self-sustainability.

Multiple-passage-through-the-core (ten passage chosen in this work) with two kinds of flow patterns is simulated to flatten the axial power distribution. The mixing flow pattern is defined as that where pebbles, unloaded from each channel and not reached the limit of discharge burnup, are mixed with a batch of fresh pebbles and then are randomly recycled into five channels. The directional flow pattern is defined as that where a batch of fresh pebbles is recycled 10 times in channel 1, and then 10 times in channel 2, and so forth until discharged from channel 5. It is noteworthy that the radial position of pebbles in the core is determined by their inlet position [29], which implies that the directional flow could be easily achieved by only setting four baffles in the inlet. The out-pile residence time of pebble is supposed to be equal to in-pile residence time.

For the reprocessing of discharge fuel, only 233U and 232Th are extracted, while other uranium isotopes such as 234U, 235U, 236U, are omitted in the calculation due to the long equilibrium cycle. 233Pa from discharge fuel is regarded as 233U, and will be returned to core. In the general model, average power density is 10 MW/m3 (corresponding to 980 MW total power), which will be changed in the analysis of 233Pa effect. According to the refueling scheme and reflector material, 4 cases are analyzed, as shown in Table 3.

### 2.2 Equilibrium calculation method of thorium fuel self-sustainability

Equilibrium calculation of thorium fuel self-sustainability involves searching the fuel feed rate (or in-pile residence time) and UTR to keep keff convergent to 1 and to keep the 233U fed into the core equivalent to 233U from discharge fuel under different energy spectra. Convergence methods are analyzed below.

Ignoring the chain of 233Pa and 233Th, the evolution equations of 232-Th and 233-U can be shown as:

\[
\frac{dN_{Th}}{dt} = -A_{Th}N_{Th},
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{U3}}{dt} = -A_{U3}N_{U3} + A_{Th}N_{Th}.
\]

Table 1. Dimensions of reactor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Dimension (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer radius of Channel 1</td>
<td>107.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer radius of Channel 2</td>
<td>151.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer radius of Channel 3</td>
<td>185.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer radius of Channel 4</td>
<td>214.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer radius of Channel 5</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of active core</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of axial and radial reflector</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of equivalent fuel pebble</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernel diameters of fuel particle</td>
<td>0.0410/0.1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer thickness of fuel particle</td>
<td>0.0017/0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC thickness of fuel particle</td>
<td>0.0018/0.0061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Material properties of reactor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Th₂CO₃/²³³U₂CO₃</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC Matrix and cladding</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flibe salt</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflector (Graphite/SiC)</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>1.74/3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Core cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Flow Pattern</th>
<th>Reflector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>Mixing Flow</td>
<td>Graphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>Directional Flow</td>
<td>Graphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>Mixing Flow</td>
<td>SiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>Directional Flow</td>
<td>SiC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
different regions. \( N_{U3} \) is the concentration of \(^{233}\text{U} \), and \( A_{U3} \) is a function as fluxes and one-group absorption cross-sections of \(^{233}\text{U} \) in different regions. After in-pile residence time \( T \), the concentration of \(^{233}\text{U} \) can be solved as follows:

\[
N_{U3}(T) = \left( N_{U30} - \frac{\bar{A}_{Th} N_{Th0}}{A_{U3} - \bar{A}_{Th}} \right) \exp(-\bar{A}_{Th} T) + \frac{\bar{A}_{Th} N_{Th0}}{A_{U3} - \bar{A}_{Th}} \exp(-\bar{A}_{U3} T). \tag{3}
\]

\( N_{U30} \) is the fresh concentration of \(^{233}\text{U} \), and \( N_{Th0} \) is the fresh concentration of \(^{232}\text{Th} \). \( \bar{A} \) is time-averaged \( A \). For fissile self-sustainability, \( N_{U3} (T) = N_{U30} \). It can be deduced that:

\[
UTR = \frac{N_{U30}}{N_{Th0}} = \frac{\bar{A}_{Th}}{A_{U3} - \bar{A}_{Th}} \frac{(\exp(-\bar{A}_{Th} T) - \exp(-\bar{A}_{U3} T)) / (1 - \exp(-\bar{A}_{U3} T))}{} \tag{4}
\]

\( UTR \) always can be determined by in-pile residence time under specific \( A \) which is affected by neutron energy spectrum and can be adjusted by \( V_f \).

In addition, for simplified analysis, an equation can be established to connect \( k_{eff} \) with \( T \) for fissile self-sustainability.

\[
k_{eff} = \frac{1}{2/\eta + L + Abs_{fp} T}. \tag{5}
\]

\( \eta \) is the effective number of \(^{233}\text{U} \) fission neutrons, usually about 2.25 in epithermal spectrum. \( L \) is the sum of neutron absorption rate of structure material and leakage rate of core. \( Abs_{fp} \) is the equivalent capture absorption rate of fission products and transuranic elements. For the differential equation (5),

\[
\frac{dk_{eff}}{k_{eff}} = \frac{-Abs_{fp} T}{2 + L + Abs_{fp} T} \frac{dT}{T} = \frac{Abs_{fp} T}{2 + L + Abs_{fp} T} \frac{dV}{V} \quad \text{(for } \frac{dT}{T} = -\frac{dV}{V} \text{)}. \tag{6}
\]

\( V \) is feed rate of fresh fuel. Equation (6) can be changed into:

\[
\frac{dV}{V} = \left( 1 + \frac{2 + L}{Abs_{fp} T} \frac{dk_{eff}}{k_{eff}} \right). \tag{7}
\]

Supposing \( L \) is equal to 2\%, and \( k_{eff} \) is 1, \( Abs_{fp} T \) can be obtained from equation (5). Equation (7) is changed into:

\[
\frac{dV}{V} \approx 10 \frac{dk_{eff}}{k_{eff}}. \tag{8}
\]

Equation (8) describes a positive correlation between feed rate of fresh fuel and \( k_{eff} \), and is used to modify feed rate of fresh fuel with previous \( k_{eff} \). Constant 10 in equation (8) does not affect the accuracy but determines the rate of convergence.

3 Results and discussions

Discharge burnup for thorium fuel self-sustainability and Temperature Reactivity Coefficient (TRC) of Flibe varied
Neutron spectrum provides a vital role for breeding or self-sustainability calculation. In the following analysis, $V_f$ is a main parameter to adjust neutron spectrum. As shown in Figure 4, neutron spectrum varies from quasi-fast spectrum to fast spectrum with the increase of $V_f$. There are several dips around high energy range, corresponding to the main elastic scattering resonance of $^7$Li and $^{19}$F. In addition, there is a low peak at about 0.2 eV caused by thermal scattering of carbon from SiC and graphite reflector, but note that the peak is two or three orders of magnitude lower than the fast flux.

3.1 Neutron spectrum

Neutron spectrum provides a vital role for breeding or self-sustainability calculation. In the following analysis, $V_f$ is a main parameter to adjust neutron spectrum. As shown in Figure 4, neutron spectrum varies from quasi-fast spectrum to fast spectrum with the increase of $V_f$. There are several dips around high energy range, corresponding to the main elastic scattering resonance of $^7$Li and $^{19}$F. In addition, there is a low peak at about 0.2 eV caused by thermal scattering of carbon from SiC and graphite reflector, but note that the peak is two or three orders of magnitude lower than the fast flux.

3.2 Discharge burnup for thorium fuel self-sustainability

In this section, the aim is to find the feasible region of thorium fuel self-sustainability and further to investigate the burnup characteristic of thorium fuel self-sustainability.

Discharge burnup for thorium fuel self-sustainability with different cases is shown in Figure 5. Discharge burnup is a function of $V_f$. High $V_f$ can linearly improve the discharge burnup. For $V_f$ lower than 20%, the discharge burnup is near to zero, which implies that it may not be feasible to breed for thorium fuel in PB-FHRs when $V_f$ is below 20%.

The mechanism of discharge burnup for thorium fuel self-sustainability variation with $V_f$, can be understood in terms of the one-group cross-section ratios of thorium-uranium and $UTR$ (Fig. 6). The one-group absorption cross-section ratio of thorium to uranium ($XS(Tha)/XS(U3a)$) reflects the conversion capability of thorium. The one-group fission-absorption ratio of $^{233}$U ($XS(U3f)/XS(U3a)$) reflects $^{233}$U fuel burning efficiency. As shown in Figure 6, a hard neutron spectrum provides high $XS(Tha)/XS(U3a)$ and high $XS(U3f)/XS(U3a)$, which show high conversion capability and high fuel burning efficiency. In the low $V_f$ region, $UTR$ increases mainly due to the increase of $XS(Tha)/XS(U3a)$ to keep reactor criticality, while in high $V_f$ region, higher fuel burning efficiency and lower neutron absorption cross-section of fission products will allow lower fresh $UTR$ (Fig. 6). When $XS(Tha)/XS(U3a)$ is higher than $UTR$, breeding of thorium is feasible and extra $^{233}$U will be produced to improve the discharge burnup of thorium fuel. The evolution of $^{233}$U in case 4 with 46.7% $V_f$ is shown in Figure 7. Concentration of $^{233}$U will...

![Fig. 4. Neutron spectrum dependent with $V_f$ (case 1).](image)

![Fig. 5. Discharge burnup for thorium fuel self-sustainability in different cases as a function of $V_f$.](image)

![Fig. 6. One-group cross-section ratio and concentration ratio of thorium-uranium (case 2).](image)

![Fig. 7. Evolution of $^{233}$U in case 4 with 46.7% $V_f$. One wave represents a single passage caused by the delay of $^{233}$Pa decay.](image)
increase at low burnup and decrease to the initial concentration at the high burnup.

As shown in Figure 5, a directional flow pattern can provide higher discharge burnup than a mixing flow pattern, and a SiC reflector can provide higher discharge burnup than a graphite reflector. Reflector material has a more significant influence than flow pattern on discharge burnup in comparing case 2 and case 3. The neutron leakage rate and slowing down effect by reflector are the main contributions to differences among the four cases. In directional flow pattern, radial power fraction concentrates in the inner channel (Fig. 8) because of more $^{233}$U, low fission products and consequent high flux in inner channel, which will decrease neutron leakage (Fig. 9) and lead to weak slowing down effect by reflector, and vice versa in mixing flow pattern. Additionally, power fraction in outer channel will be enhanced by graphite reflector due to the large fission cross-section caused by strong slowing down effect, which will further increase the neutron leakage (Fig. 9).

For 50% $V_f$ dispersion fuel, a discharge burnup of 63 MWd/kgHM, 103 MWd/kgHM, 140 MWd/kgHM and 165 MWd/kgHM can be achieved in case 1 to case 4, respectively. From a view of same discharge burnup, case 4 could provide smallest $V_f$ to reduce the manufacturing difficulty of fuel system. However, radial power peak factor case 4 is about 1.8. Case 3 is the best tradeoff between discharge burnup and radial power peak factor (about 1.4), and mixing flow pattern is the simplest refueling scheme.

3.3 Thickness of reflector

To decrease the neutron leakage, thickness of reflector is analyzed. As shown in Figure 10, the thickness of graphite reflector has an apparent positive effect on the k-eff due to the strong slowing down power, which will lead to a lower breeding capacity or discharge burnup. However, neutron leakage rate almost does not vary with the thickness of graphite reflector (Fig. 11), which may be caused by offset between the enhanced power fraction in the outer channel and the enhanced reflectivity. As analyzed above, graphite reflector seems not suitable in this reactor. From Figures 10 and 11, 50 cm thickness seems enough for SiC reflector to prevent neutron from escaping.

![Fig. 8. Radial power distribution with different $V_f$ and cases.](image)

![Fig. 9. Neutron leakage rate as a function of $V_f$ with four cases.](image)
3.4 Flibe temperature reactivity coefficient

A negative Flibe TRC is necessary for PB-FHR nuclear safety. The calculated Flibe TRC is shown in Figure 12. As the increase of $V_f$, Flibe TRC increases. A positive Flibe TRC will happen when $V_f$ is beyond 62%, which shows the margin of inherent safety.

Comparing different cases, case 1 and case 2 show the more negative Flibe TRC than case 3 and case 4, which could be explained by softer spectrum in case 1 and case 2.

The mechanism of Flibe TRC is analyzed in models with 10% voided Flibe. The deviations of neutron absorption rate of main nuclides are shown in Figure 13. The $^{232}$Th $(n,\gamma)$ reaction and $^{233}$U $(n,f)$ reaction make great contributions to Flibe TRC. $^{232}$Th $(n,\gamma)$ makes Flibe TRC more positive, while $^{233}$U $(n,f)$ makes Flibe TRC more negative. With the increase of $V_f$, the deviation of $^{233}$U $(n,f)$ reaction approaches zero, as can be explained with reference to Figure 14. In 33.0% $V_f$ the $^{233}$U $(n,f)$ reaction in the resonance region has obvious shortfalls when slowing down by Flibe, while in 86.7% $V_f$ the deviation in resonance region vanishes. The same situation happens with $^{232}$Th $(n,\gamma)$. This indicates that some level of slowing down is required to keep a negative Flibe TRC and this could not be achieved for solid thorium fuel in a fast neutron spectrum.

Figure 13 also shows the contribution of neutron leakage, Flibe absorption rate and other reaction rates to Flibe TRC. Neutron leakage makes the Flibe TRC a little negative, while Flibe absorption rate and other reaction rates make the Flibe TRC a little positive.

3.5 Equilibrium concentration of Li-6 and production rate of H-3

The absorption rates of each nuclide in Flibe are shown in Figure 15. The $^9$Be$(n,2n)$ reaction rate is predominant,
which could help reduce contribution to positive Flibe TRC. The $^{19}$F$(n,\gamma)$ reaction is apparent for several capture resonance peaks in fast spectrum. Notably, different from thermal spectrum, $^6$Li and $^7$Li show the low neutron absorption characteristics in a quasi-fast spectrum. The discharge burnup and Flibe TRC variations with concentration of $^6$Li are shown in Figure 16. With the increase of $^6$Li, discharge burnup decreases, while Flibe TRC does not change until beyond 3000 ppm. But it notes that discharge burnup only has a 6 MWd/kgHM drop when $^6$Li increases from 22 ppm to 500 ppm, which shows that 99.95 at.% $^7$Li at least is compatible for sustainability of thorium-uranium in PB-FHR. This indicates that the cost of Flibe in quasi-fast reactor can be sharply reduced by lower enrichment of $^7$Li.

In fact, the equilibrium concentration of $^6$Li in a quasi-fast spectrum is very much larger than in a thermal spectrum. This can be calculated by equation (9), by assuming that the concentration of $^9$Be in the core is constant.

$$N_{^6\text{Li}} = \frac{(n,\alpha)\text{reaction rate of Be} - 9}{\text{absorption rate of Li} - 6(22 \text{ ppm})} \times 22 \text{ ppm}. \quad (9)$$

As shown in Figure 17, the equilibrium of $^6$Li increases as the increase of $V_f$ due to the faster decline of one-group absorption cross-section of $^6$Li than that of $^9$Be. 500 ppm of $^6$Li can be achieved for 33% $V_f$, which implies that enriching the $^7$Li to more than 99.95 at.% level for improving the discharge burnup is unnecessary.

The product rate of $^3$H in equilibrium state can be estimated by equation (10). Number of $^{233}$U fission neutron is assumed to be 2.5, fission energy of $^{233}$U is assumed to be 200 MeV. The product rate of $^3$H is equal to the $(n,\alpha)$ reaction rate of $^9$Be. Figure 17 shows that the product rate of $^3$H in equilibrium state decreases as the increase of $V_f$, which is in keeping with the $(n,\alpha)$ reaction rate of $^9$Be shown in Figure 15. Since the $(n,\alpha)$ reaction rate of $^3$Be in quasi-fast spectrum is low, the product rate of $^3$H is only about 30–40 g/GW/year, which is not proportional to the concentration of $^6$Li.

$$P_{^3\text{H}} = \frac{(n,\alpha)\text{reaction rate of Be} - 9}{200 \text{ MeV}} \times 2.5. \quad (10)$$

### 3.6 Effect of $^{233}$Pa

In the conversion process of thorium, some of the $^{233}$U will be lost by the irradiation of $^{233}$Pa. This effect can be enhanced by a high neutron flux. As shown in Figure 18, discharge burnup has a 30 MWd/kgHM drop when power density...
density increases from 10 to 30 MW/m³. In PB-FHRs, 233Pa has opportunity to decay away by periodically removing pebbles from the core. Figure 19 shows that the discharge burnup in high power density condition can be improved by increasing the number of times each pebble is cycled through each channel. However, this effect becomes weak when number of cycles in each channel extends beyond 20.

Because of the low power density and high fuel loading, the residence time of each pebble in this reactor is very long (Fig. 20). It is necessary to reduce the residence time by increasing the number of times each pebble is discharged burnup in high power density condition can be improved by increasing the number of times each pebble is cycled through each channel.

3.7 Thermal hydraulic analysis

In this section, Vf and power density will further be limited by thermal hydraulics considerations. For dispersion fuel system, a one-dimensional sphere geometry with equivalent

thermal conductivity is used. By reference to HTGRs [34], the limit temperature of fuel in normal conditions is assumed to be 1250 °C, and the limit temperature of fuel in accident conditions is supposed to be 1600 °C.

The maximum kernel temperature can be deduced from the maximum temperature of mixed fuel region:

\[ T_k = T_f + \frac{P_f R^2}{6 k_{\text{fuel}} (1 - \varepsilon) V_f} + \frac{P_f R^2}{3 k_{\text{buffer}} (1 - \varepsilon) V_f (1 - 1/r_2)} + \frac{P_f R^2}{3 k_{\text{SiC}} (1 - \varepsilon) V_f (1 - 1/r_3)}. \]  

(11)

\( T_f \) is maximum temperature of mixed fuel region, \( P \) is the total power peak factor (assumed as 1.4 × 1.4 ≈ 2 in the following calculation), \( \varepsilon \) is porosity of pebble bed, \( k_{\text{fuel}} \) is thermal conductivity of thorium–uranium fuel, \( k_{\text{buffer}} \) is thermal conductivity of buffer, \( k_{\text{SiC}} \) is thermal conductivity of SiC cladding, \( r_1 \) is the radius of fuel kernel, \( r_2 \) is the outer radius of buffer and \( r_3 \) is the outer radius of SiC cladding.

The maximum temperature of mixed fuel region can be obtained by:

\[ T_f = T_c + \frac{P_f R^2}{6 k (1 - \varepsilon)}. \]  

(12)

\( T_c \) is the average temperature of Flibe, \( k_c \) is the thermal conductivity of Flibe, \( \varepsilon \) is the average thermal conductivity, in this paper, it is the volume average thermal conductivity, which will vary with \( V_f \).

The surface temperature of pebble can be obtained by heat convection equation:

\[ T_s = T_c + \frac{4 P_f R^2}{3 k_c N u (1 - \varepsilon)}, \quad N u = 2 + 1.1 \times 10^{0.6} P r^{1/3}. \]  

(13)

\( T_c \) is the average temperature of Flibe, \( k_c \) is the thermal conductivity of Flibe, \( N_u \) is nusselt number cited from Wakao [35], \( Re \) is Reynolds number, and \( Pr \) is Prandtl number.

\[ Re = \frac{\rho U^2 R}{\mu (1 - \varepsilon)}, \quad Pr = \frac{C_p \rho}{k_c}. \]  

(14)

\( \rho \) is the density of Flibe, \( \mu \) is dynamic viscosity, \( C_p \) is heat capacity, \( U \) is superficial velocity of Flibe. \( U \) can be calculated by:

\[ U = \frac{P \cdot h}{C_p \rho (T_{outlet} - T_{inlet})}; \]  

(15)

\( h \) is the height of core, \( T_{outlet} \) is outlet temperature of Flibe, and \( T_{inlet} \) is inlet temperature of Flibe.

The physical property parameters are listed in Table 4, the thermal conductivity of SiC is very high even after a long period of irradiation time, the thermal conductivity of Th₂(Fe/C)a/U₂(Fe/C)a is referred from that of ThO₂, which shows a little higher thermal conductivity than UO₂.
The results are shown in Table 5. As the increase of $V_f$, the equivalent thermal conductivity decreases, as a result, the maximum temperature of fuel increases. However, even in 60\% $V_f$, the maximum temperature of fuel is still below 1250 °C for 6 cm pebble under 10 MW/m³ power density. On the other hand, the allowable power density for 6 cm pebble will not extend beyond 21 MW/m³ if the maximum temperature of fuel is below 1250 °C. Reducing the diameter of pebble is an effective means of improving the power density, as shown in Table 5, 60 MW/m³ power density is allowable in 40\% $V_f$ for 3 cm pebble.

As analyzed above, thermal conductivity is sensitive to the maximum temperature of the fuel. ThC may be a good candidate ceramic fuel due to the high density and high thermal conductivity.

Loss of Forced Cooling (LOFC) and Anticipated Transient Without Scram (ATWS) are the most important accidents for PB-FHRs. The decay heat removal system with Pool Reactor Auxiliary Cooling (PRAC) heat exchangers (PHX) modules in the PB-AHTR could be applied to this work. In an LOFC accident, even under 40 MW/m³ power density, the outlet temperature of Flibe will not rise by as much as 50 °C, and the temperature of fuel will quickly drop to the level of Flibe [36]. In an ATWS accident with a 1000 pcm reactivity insertion, the temperature of the fuel will not rise by as much as 200 °C to 1450 °C, which is still lower than 1600 °C (~5 pcm/K of fuel TRC is calculated in 46.7\% $V_f$, case 4). In addition, the negative Flibe TRC is more effective to decrease the outlet temperature than a more negative fuel TRC, and the outlet temperature in this case will not rise by 200 °C [36].

### 4 Conclusions

This work investigated the sustainability of thorium fuel in PB-FHR. Dispersion fuel with SiC cladding and SiC matrix was used to increase the fuel loading. A novel equilibrium calculation method of thorium fuel self-sustainability was developed to analyze discharge burnup. The mechanism of breeding and the characteristic of Flibe salt temperature reactivity coefficient are both performed.

Some preliminary findings are as follows:
- more than 20 vol\% fuel loading in fuel system is necessary to keep thorium fuel sustainable, and less than 62 vol\% fuel loading is required for negative Flibe TRC. The allowed maximal discharge burnup for thorium fuel self-sustainability and negative Flibe TRC is about 200 MWd/kgHM;
- case 4 with directional flow pattern and SiC reflector displays superior burnup characteristics due to having the hardest neutron spectrum and lowest neutron leakage. While case 3 with mixing flow pattern and SiC reflector shows the best tradeoff between discharge burnup and radial power peak factor. For 50\% $V_f$ dispersion fuel, case 3 could provide 140 MWd/kgHM burnup and about 1.4 radial power peaking factor;
- the $^{232}$Th(n,$\gamma$) reaction and $^{23}$U(n,f) reaction are main contributions to Flibe TRC. It indicates that some level of slowing down is required to keep a negative void

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_e$</td>
<td>1 W/m°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{SiC}$</td>
<td>30 W/m°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{fuel}$</td>
<td>4 W/m°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{buffer}$</td>
<td>9 W/m°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>1.96 × 10³ kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>8.153 × 10⁻³ Pa·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>2.38 × 10³ J/kg/°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{inlet}$</td>
<td>600 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{outlet}$</td>
<td>700 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>650 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>700 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>758 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_3$</td>
<td>819 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Constants for thermal hydraulic calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{inlet}$</td>
<td>600 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{outlet}$</td>
<td>700 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>650 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>700 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>758 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_3$</td>
<td>819 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V_f$</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c-T_e$ (°C)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_e-T_s$ (°C)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_k-T_f$ (°C)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. $T_k$ (°C)</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>1063</td>
<td>1146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowable Avr. P.D. (MW/m³)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. $T_k$ (°C)</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowable Avr. P.D. (MW/m³)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reactivity coefficient, which provides a new insight for coolant in quasi-fast reactor. Flibe salt shows good neutron properties as coolant of quasi-fast reactor. The equilibrium concentration of $^6$Li in fast spectrum is around 1000 ppm, which decreases the cost of enrichment, and the neutron absorption of $^6$Li is still low. 99.95%, $^7$Li is compatible for sustainability of thorium-uranium in PB-FHR. In addition, the production rate of $^3$H in quasi-fast spectrum is about 30–40 g/GW/year, usually lower than in thermal spectrum;

– effect of $^{233}$Pa is significant in the high power density condition. A 30 MWd/kgHM drop in discharge burnup is obtained when power density increases from 10 to 30 MW/m$^3$. Increasing the number of time each thorium pebble is cycled through each channel can increase discharge burnup. The greatest challenge of this reactor is the very long irradiation time of the pebble fuel. Increasing power density can apparently decrease the irradiation time, but discharge burnup will also obviously decrease, and as a result, the reactor may not be competitive;

– thermal hydraulic calculations show good safety margin. 20 MW/m$^3$ is allowable for 6 cm pebble, and 60 MW/m$^3$ is allowable for 3 cm pebble. $V_f$ affects the thermal conductivity, and a value lower than 50% is recommended.

In further analysis, we will focus on the high power density case, investigate how to reduce the effect of $^{233}$Pa, and also perform a detail thermal hydraulic analysis.
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**Nomenclature**

- PB-FHR: Pebble-Bed Fluoride salt-cooled High temperature Reactor
- $V_f$: Fuel volume dividing the volume of fuel system
- UTR: Fresh $^{233}$U/$^{232}$Th ratio
- XS(Tha)/XS(U3a): One-group absorption cross-section ratio of thorium-uranium
- XS(U3f)/XS(U3a): One-group fission cross-section of $^{233}$U over one-group absorption cross-section of $^{235}$U
- TRC: Temperature Reactivity Coefficient
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